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A recently reported one-pot synthesis of pyrroles from phosphine, imine, and acid chloride precursors (Scheme 1) involves intermediates $\mathbf{1}$, which undergo cycloaddition reaction with loss of $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O} .{ }^{1}$

Intermediates 1 were designed to behave as cyclic 1,3-dipoles, related to Sydnones ${ }^{2,3}$ and Münchnones, ${ }^{4}$ but can alternatively be formulated as phosphonium ylides. Phosphonium ions are known to cyclize to phosphoranes when $\gamma$-alkoxide groups are present, ${ }^{5}$ and similar interactions involving $\gamma$-keto groups have also been invoked ${ }^{6}$ to explain the catalysis of certain aldol reactions by phosphines. Accordingly, $\mathbf{1}$ may potentially exist in either a cyclic form "cyc-1" or an acyclic form "acyc-1". Because the efficiencies of cycloadditions between $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{CN}$ depended markedly on the electronic and steric environment at phosphorus, their reactivity was postulated to be a function of the extent of $\boldsymbol{c y c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{- 1}$ in the $\boldsymbol{c y c} \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{a c y c}$ equilibrium. Best results were obtained with a PPh(catechyl) unit. However, beyond the suggestions posed by spectroscopic and synthetic evidence, the structural features of $\mathbf{1}$ have not been determined. To characterize these potentially versatile reagents, we therefore provide a computational study of the nature of the reactive species and their cycloaddition reactions.

## Scheme 1



Calculations with a variety of methods using Gaussian $03^{7}$ and NWChem $5.0^{8}$ revealed that the structural features of the parent compound cyc-1a ( $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}^{1}, \mathrm{R}^{2}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}$ ) are relatively insensitive to the theoretical method used for geometrical optimization (Table 1). Consistency was seen over a range of theoretical levels including B3LYP, M06-2X, MP2, and QCISD, with various basis sets. In most cases the $\mathrm{P} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance fell within the relatively narrow range $2.51-2.57 \AA$.
In keeping with experiment, cyclic and acyclic isomers were both identified as local minima for 1a. Geometries of cyc-1a and the lowest-energy conformer of $\boldsymbol{a c y c}$ - $\mathbf{1 a}$, at the B3LYP $/ 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ level, are shown in Figure 1. The energy difference between cyc1a and acyc-1a is essentially invariant across the methods studied, the enthalpy difference being approximately $2-3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ in favor of the cyclic form. ${ }^{9}$

[^0]Table 1. Geometrical and Energetic Features of cyc-1a

| level of theory | $P \ldots 0$ <br> (Å) | $\begin{gathered} \angle \mathrm{OPC} \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \angle \mathrm{POC} \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | av. $\angle$ at $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)^{a}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})}{\Delta H \mathrm{mcyc}-{ }^{2}{ }^{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B3LYP/6-31G(d) | 2.52 | 78.1 | 99.5 | 116.3 | -2.8 |
| B3LYP/6-31+G(d) | 2.55 | 77.5 | 99.3 | 116.5 | -1.8 |
| B3LYP/6-311+G(2df,p) | 2.51 | 77.2 | 100.3 | 118.0 | -3.1 |
| B3LYP/cc-pVTZ | 2.51 | 77.6 | 99.9 | 117.0 | -2.7 |
| M06-2X/6-31+G(d) | 2.54 | 77.8 | 99.1 | 116.4 | -1.7 |
| MP2/6-31+G(d) | 2.53 | 78.4 | 99.2 | 115.8 | -2.1 |
| QCISD/6-31G(d) | 2.57 | 78.4 | 97.8 | 115.1 | -2.1 |

${ }^{a}$ Average angle at ylidic carbon. ${ }^{b} \Delta H$ at 298.15 K.


Figure 1. Geometries of cyclic and open-chain isomers of $\mathbf{1 a}$ at the B3LYP/ 6-31+G(d) level.

Chart 1. B3LYP/6-31+G(d) Features of Model Compounds


The $\mathrm{P} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interaction in $\boldsymbol{c y c} \boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{1 a}$ is relatively weak. Comparison with the model compounds 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ (Chart 1) indicates that the $\mathrm{P} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interaction in $\boldsymbol{c y c} \boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{1 a}$ is too long to be considered a normal covalent bond. Although the $\mathrm{P} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance is considerably shorter than that in a complex of $\mathbf{4}$ with formamide (via O) (5) (viz. 3.38 $\AA$ ), the shortened $\mathrm{P} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interaction provides small enthalpic benefit. $\Delta H$ for formation of $\mathbf{5}$ from its components is $-2.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

The $\mathrm{P} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interaction leads to a distorted trigonal bipyramidal geometry at phosphorus: the average of the three equatorial bond angles in $\boldsymbol{c y c} \mathbf{c}-1 \mathrm{a}$ is $116.1^{\circ}$. In contrast to the more traditional 1,3dipole, Münchnone 6 (which is planar), cyc-1a is nonplanar with an average angle at $C_{P}$ of $116.5^{\circ}$. The bond lengths and bond angles in the PCNCO framework of $\boldsymbol{c y c} \boldsymbol{c}$-1a are quite similar to those of acyc-1a, except for the amide $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths, which are 1.34 and $1.37 \AA$, respectively, and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths, which are 1.25 and $1.22 \AA$. The amide unit in $\boldsymbol{c y c} \boldsymbol{c}$-1a possesses greater $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$


Figure 2. Geometries of substituted derivatives $\mathbf{1 b} \mathbf{- 1 e}$.
character than does the simple amide 7, the result of favorable interaction of the negative charge on O with the phosphonium group. In addition, the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length in $\mathbf{1 a}$ is almost identical to that in ylide 4. Therefore, species 1a is best regarded as an acylamino-phosphonium ylide, the cyclic conformer of which is stabilized by a weak $\mathrm{P} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interaction.

Experimental results have suggested that the nature of the phosphorus substituents significantly influences the ability of $\mathbf{1}$ to undergo cycloaddition. ${ }^{1}$ These influences were modeled by derivatives incorporating $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}$, and PPh (catechyl) units ( $\mathbf{1 b} \mathbf{- 1 e}$ ). Geometries of the cyclic forms of these species are shown in Figure 2.

In the $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ derivative $\boldsymbol{c y c} \mathbf{- 1 b}$, the $\mathrm{P} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interaction is $0.5 \AA$ longer than in cyc-1a. Electron donation from the Me groups is likely to contribute to this long P $\cdots$ O distance, but steric effects must also be considered since the two closest $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts involving the $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ unit are $0.1-0.3 \AA$ shorter than in cyc-1a. Cyc$\mathbf{1 b}$ is only $0.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ more stable $(\Delta H)$ than the lowest-energy conformer of acyc-1b.
For the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ derivative 1c, the $\mathrm{P} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interaction is essentially nonexistent. The most "cyclic" isomer has a $\mathrm{P} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance of 3.92 $\AA$ and is now $1.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ less stable than the lowest-energy acyclic geometry. Conversely, incorporation of electron-withdrawing P -substituents strengthens the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ interaction. $\boldsymbol{C y c} \boldsymbol{c} \mathbf{1 d}$ has a $\mathrm{P} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance of $2.00 \AA$. The PPh (catechyl) derivative $\boldsymbol{c y c} \boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{e}$ has two isomers differing in the stereochemistry at phosphorus; when the axial position of the phosphorus trigonal bipyramid is occupied by the Ph group, the $\mathrm{P} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interaction is long ( $2.93 \AA$ ), but when one of the catechol oxygens occupies the axial position, the $\mathrm{P} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interaction is much shorter ( $1.97 \AA$ ). The shorter $\mathrm{P} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions in $\boldsymbol{c y c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{- 1 d}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{axial} \boldsymbol{c y c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{1 e}$ are not, however, associated with any increase in stability. $\boldsymbol{C y c} \boldsymbol{c} \mathbf{1 d}$ is $1.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ higher in energy than its acyclic form, while O -axial $\mathbf{c y c}-1 \mathrm{e}$ is $0.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ higher


Figure 3. Transition state for cycloaddition of cyc-1a with ethylene.
in energy than the Ph -axial isomer and $2.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ higher in energy than the most stable acyclic isomer. ${ }^{10}$

These data suggest that a large contribution of $\boldsymbol{c y c} \boldsymbol{c} \mathbf{- 1}$ to the $\boldsymbol{c y c}$ -$\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{a c y c} \mathbf{- 1}$ equilibrium is not, in itself, the factor responsible for cycloaddition efficiency. In order to probe this, the transition states for the cycloadditions of $\mathbf{1 a}, \mathbf{1} \mathbf{c}$, and $\mathbf{1 e}$ with ethylene were computed. The parent cyc-1a (Figure 3) displays a concerted, moderately asynchronous transition structure ( $\Delta H^{\ddagger}=22.8 \mathrm{kcal}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), in which the bond to the carbonyl carbon is more developed ( $2.17 \AA$ ) than the bond to the ylidic carbon $(2.37 \AA) . \boldsymbol{C y c}-1 \mathbf{c}$ and the Ph -axial and O -axial isomers of $\boldsymbol{c y c} \boldsymbol{c}-1 \mathrm{e}$ also display concerted asynchronous transition structures, with activation enthalpies of $31.7,32.0$, and $16.2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, respectively. These barriers parallel the results of experiments using $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{CN}$ as the dipolarophile, ${ }^{1}$ which indicated that a $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ unit was ineffective in promoting cycloaddition (when $\mathrm{R}^{1}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=$ Tol and $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Bn}$ ), whereas $\operatorname{PPh}$ (catechyl) gave a $95 \%$ yield of the pyrrole in 30 min at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The activation barriers follow the same trend as the energies to distort the dipoles to their TS geometries. ${ }^{11}$ During cycloaddition, the dipole undergoes a contraction of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ distance, as well as the formation of two new $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds. The barriers correlate with the change in $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ distance on going from reactant to TS . Cycloaddition is most facile when the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ interaction is already well-developed in the substrate (e.g., O-axial cyc-1e).

A concerted mechanism is understood to operate in the reactions of Münchnones with alkynes. ${ }^{12}$ However, for $\mathbf{1}$, multistep mechanisms may occur, depending on electronic effects. These mechanisms and reaction regioselectivities are under investigation.
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